

# Reference Document

*"The Work Is Working — Norway, Round One Just Ended"*

Every Claim, Source, and Verification Status

---

## How to Use This Document

Each entry identifies a specific factual claim made in the video, the source it is based on, where to verify it, and any notes on confidence level. Claims are organized in the order they appear in the video.

### Verification levels:

- **PRIMARY** — Sourced from court rulings, legislation, official Watchtower publications (including internal manuals like *Shepherd the Flock of God*), government broadcaster reporting, government correspondence, or other official records. Primary sources can stand on their own.
  - **SECONDARY** — Sourced from established advocacy or legal-resource sites, academic researchers, or reputable investigative journalism. Secondary sources support claims and are most defensible when paired with a primary or another independent secondary.
- 

## SECTION 1: The Verdict and the State's First Sentence

**Claim: The Norwegian Supreme Court ruled 3-2 in Watchtower's favor on April 30, 2026, ending the state's case with no appeal available.**

- **Source:** Norwegian Supreme Court verdict, case HR-2026-1009-A, April 30, 2026.
- **URL:** <https://www.nrk.no/sorlandet/hoyesterett-har-talt -staten-kan-ikke-nekte-jehovas-vitner-statstilskudd-og-registrering-1.17859583>
- **Verification:** PRIMARY — Norwegian state broadcaster (NRK) reporting on a Supreme Court ruling. Verdict structure (3-2 final, no appeal) confirmed across NRK, Rett24, Frihetskamp, and Human Rights Without Frontiers.

**Claim: Within hours of the ruling, state attorney Liv-Inger Gjone Gabrielsen of the Office of the Attorney General told NRK that the Ministry and the County Governor would now begin work to prepare new decisions following up on the judgment.**

- **Source:** NRK, "Høyesterett har talt: Staten kan ikke nekte Jehovas vitner statstilskudd og registrering," April 30, 2026.
  - **URL:** <https://www.nrk.no/sorlandet/hoyesterett-har-talt -staten-kan-ikke-nekte-jehovas-vitner-statstilskudd-og-registrering-1.17859583>
  - **Verification:** PRIMARY — Norway's state broadcaster, day-of reporting. NRK rendered Gabrielsen's words as reported speech rather than direct quotation; the script paraphrases this faithfully.
  - **Note:** Gabrielsen represents Regjeringsadvokaten — the Office of the Attorney General of Norway, which represents the state in litigation. The fact that her first public sentence after losing was about preparing new administrative decisions is the load-bearing data point in the script's argument.
-

## SECTION 2: The October 24, 2024 Letter — "Worldwide Adjustment"

**Claim:** On October 24, 2024, the Religious Association of Jehovah's Witnesses in Norway sent a letter to the Norwegian Ministry of Children and Families asking the Ministry to reconsider the deregistration in light of new circumstances.

- **Source:** Letter from the Religious Association of Jehovah's Witnesses in Norway to the Norwegian Ministry of Children and Families, October 24, 2024.
- **URL:** [https://drive.google.com/file/d/1ihNk9G7rDfP0XrZtnCI8GkPjmDT\\_cHII/view](https://drive.google.com/file/d/1ihNk9G7rDfP0XrZtnCI8GkPjmDT_cHII/view)
- **Verification:** PRIMARY — Internal correspondence on the public record as a government-filed document. Original Norwegian-language letter linked above.
- **Note:** The letter was filed in connection with Watchtower's appeal against the Oslo District Court's March 24, 2024 ruling. AvoidJW's reporting (linked in the supporting sources section) provides the English translation of the verbatim phrase "worldwide adjustment in our religious practice in this area."

**Claim:** The Oslo District Court had ruled against Watchtower in March 2024, upholding the County Governor's decisions to revoke registration and withdraw state subsidies.

- **Source:** Oslo District Court verdict, March 24, 2024, analyzed in *Bitter Winter* by Massimo Introvigne.
- **URL:** <https://bitterwinter.org/jehovahs-witnesses-in-norway-why-the-oslo-district-court-decision-is-wrong/>
- **Verification:** SECONDARY — *Bitter Winter*, an Italian publication on religious freedom, analyzing the District Court's reasoning. Cross-confirmed by HRWF and JW.org's own announcement of intent to appeal.

**Claim:** In the letter, Watchtower's Norwegian leadership described the August 2024 changes as "a worldwide adjustment in our religious practice in this area."

- **Source:** AvoidJW, "Jehovah's Witnesses Quietly Remove Shunning Videos Amidst Global Scrutiny," by Lester Somrah and Miss Usato, last updated October 1, 2025.
- **URL:** <https://avoidjw.org/video/shunning-videos-removed-global-scutiny-jehovahs-witness/>
- **Verification:** SECONDARY (with primary-source quotation) — AvoidJW's article quotes the verbatim phrase from the original letter. The original Norwegian-language letter is linked from the article and included as a separate primary source above.
- **Key quote:** "On October 24th, 2024, they even went as far as sending a letter to the Ministry of Children and Family Affairs stating that there was a 'worldwide adjustment in our religious practice in this area.'"

**Claim:** In Jehovah's Witness organizational structure, only the Governing Body in Warwick, New York can issue a worldwide adjustment to religious practice.

- **Source:** Creator analysis based on Jehovah's Witness organizational structure as documented in *Organized to Do Jehovah's Will* and the *Shepherd the Flock of God* elders' manual.
- **URL:** <https://www.jw.org/en/jehovahs-witnesses/governing-body/>
- **Verification:** PRIMARY — JW.org's own description of the Governing Body's role at the top of the organizational hierarchy, with directives flowing down to branch committees and local congregations.

---

## SECTION 3: The Rebranding — What the August 2024 Study Edition Actually Did

**Claim:** The August 2024 *Watchtower* Study Edition codified the changes the Norwegian leadership cited in the October 24 letter.

- **Source:** *The Watchtower*, Study Edition, August 2024.
- **URL:** <https://wol.jw.org/en/wol/d/r1/lp-e/2024601>
- **Verification:** PRIMARY — Watchtower Online Library's own copy of the August 2024 Study Edition.

**Claim: "Disfellowship" was replaced with "remove" — the verb changed but the practice (same elder body, same procedural triggers, same announcement, same family consequences) did not.**

- **Source:** *The Watchtower*, Study Edition, August 2024; cross-analyzed by AvoidJW in "*The Watchtower Study Edition – August 2024 – What You Should Know*."
- **URL:** <https://avoidjw.org/news/the-watchtower-study-edition-august-2024-what-you-should-know/>
- **Verification:** SECONDARY — AvoidJW's analysis of the August 2024 Study Edition. AvoidJW notes that the term "shunning" itself is not used in the issue but is described as "Jehovah's love, righteousness, and justice in action."

**Claim: Removed individuals who walk into a Kingdom Hall can now be greeted briefly with a simple "hi" by other publishers if their conscience allows.**

- **Source:** *The Watchtower*, Study Edition, August 2024, study article "Help for Those Who Are Removed From the Congregation."
- **URL:** <https://www.jw.org/en/library/magazines/watchtower-study-august-2024/Help-for-Those-Who-Are-Removed-From-the-Congregation/>
- **Verification:** PRIMARY — JW.org's own publication of the article codifying the conditional-greeting allowance.

**Claim: The August 2024 reframing of 2 John 9-11 narrows the verse's application to apostates and those actively promoting wrong conduct, rather than to everyone removed from the congregation.**

- **Source:** *The Watchtower*, Study Edition, August 2024, study article "Help for Those Who Are Removed From the Congregation," paragraph 15.
- **URL:** <https://www.jw.org/en/library/magazines/watchtower-study-august-2024/Help-for-Those-Who-Are-Removed-From-the-Congregation/>
- **Verification:** PRIMARY — JW.org's own publication of the article reframing the verse.
- **Key quote:** "The context of this scripture shows that this direction refers to apostates and others who actively promote wrong conduct... Therefore, if a person is actively promoting apostate teachings or other wrongdoing, the elders would not arrange to visit him."

**Claim: Under the August 2024 framework, elders can visit non-apostate removed individuals, pray with them, make a warm appeal for return, and even start a Bible study with them before reinstatement.**

- **Source:** *The Watchtower*, Study Edition, August 2024, study article "Help for Those Who Are Removed From the Congregation"; *2024 Governing Body Update #2* with Mark Sanderson, March 15, 2024.
- **URL:** <https://www.jw.org/en/library/magazines/watchtower-study-august-2024/Help-for-Those-Who-Are-Removed-From-the-Congregation/>
- **Verification:** PRIMARY — JW.org's own publication codifying the elder-visit allowance.

**Claim: On November 11, 2024, the Norwegian Ministry of Children and Families responded to Watchtower's October 24 letter, working from "the Jehovah's Witnesses' own texts," and concluded that the substantive practice had not changed and children's rights were still being violated.**

- **Source:** Norwegian Ministry of Children and Families response letter to Jehovah's Witnesses Norway, November 11, 2024.
  - **URL:** <https://files.accessjw.org/s/sDzfgCGk4Bi48JB>
  - **Verification:** PRIMARY — Government correspondence on the public record. Verbatim phrase "the Jehovah's Witnesses' own texts" confirmed via AvoidJW (October 1, 2025).
  - **Key quote:** "The decisions in the case are based on evidence – mainly the Jehovah's Witnesses' own texts – which support the following understanding of the practice..." The Ministry's response also states: "The practice of exclusion violates children's rights."
- 

## SECTION 4: The One Real Change — The Procedural Off-Ramp for Baptized Minors

**Claim: Watchtower added a procedural step in front of judicial committees for baptized minors. When a baptized minor under 18 is accused of serious wrongdoing, the process now starts with two elders meeting with the minor and the parents, and if the parents are addressing it and the minor has "a good attitude," no judicial committee is convened.**

- **Source:** *2024 Governing Body Update #2* with Mark Sanderson, March 15, 2024; codified in *The Watchtower*, Study Edition, August 2024.
- **URL:** <https://avoidjw.org/news/shocking-changes-2024-gb-update-2/>
- **Verification:** SECONDARY (with primary-source video) — AvoidJW's transcription and analysis of *2024 Governing Body Update #2*. The original Watchtower video itself is the primary source; this URL points to the analysis page that quotes Sanderson verbatim.
- **Key quote:** Sanderson: "Under our new arrangement, 2 elders will meet with the minor and their Christian parents. The elders will find out what steps the parents are taking to help their child come to repentance."

**Claim: Only if a baptized minor "unrepentantly persists" does the matter escalate to a full judicial committee under the new arrangement.**

- **Source:** *2024 Governing Body Update #2* with Mark Sanderson, March 15, 2024.
- **URL:** <https://avoidjw.org/news/shocking-changes-2024-gb-update-2/>
- **Verification:** SECONDARY (with primary-source video) — AvoidJW's transcription cross-confirms the Sanderson video, which is the primary source.

**Claim: The procedural change is in writing in the August 2024 Study Edition and distributed to every congregation in every country that gets the Study Edition.**

- **Source:** *The Watchtower*, Study Edition, August 2024.
  - **URL:** <https://wol.jw.org/en/wol/d/r1/lp-e/2024601>
  - **Verification:** PRIMARY — Watchtower Online Library's own publication. The Study Edition is the doctrinal-of-record publication distributed to all congregations in 240+ countries.
- 

## SECTION 5: The Contested History — How the Prior Arrangement Actually Worked

**Claim: In the March 2024 Governing Body Update, Mark Sanderson framed the prior arrangement by saying a baptized minor would meet a committee of elders "with their parents."**

- **Source:** *2024 Governing Body Update #2* with Mark Sanderson, March 15, 2024; transcribed and analyzed by AvoidJW.
- **URL:** <https://avoidjw.org/news/shocking-changes-2024-gb-update-2/>
- **Verification:** SECONDARY (with primary-source video) — AvoidJW transcribes the verbatim Sanderson statement.
- **Key quote:** Sanderson: "Under our current arrangement, a baptized minor would meet a committee of elders with their parents."

**Claim: AvoidJW's analysis of *Shepherd the Flock of God*, Watchtower's elders' manual, describes young women and newly baptized teenagers being placed before a closed panel with no advocate present — including the parents.**

- **Source:** AvoidJW, "*A Brutal Breakdown of the Elders Rulebook 'Shepherd the Flock of God,'*" by Miss Usato, September 14, 2025.
- **URL:** <https://avoidjw.org/news/shepherd-flock-of-god-elders-rulebook-manual-doctrine/>
- **Verification:** SECONDARY — AvoidJW's substantive analysis of the elders' manual.
- **Key quote:** "The resulting power imbalance is immense, particularly for young women or newly baptized teenagers, who are placed before a closed panel with no advocate present."

**Claim: JW Victims' analysis of the 2019 edition of *Shepherd the Flock of God* states that teenagers facing the panel had no parents or others present to protect them from the line of questioning the manual instructs the elders to pursue.**

- **Source:** JW Victims, "*2019 Shepherd the Flock of God: Intrusive Questions About Sexual Behavior*," June 5, 2019.
- **URL:** <https://jwvictims.org/2019/06/05/2019-shepherd-the-flock-of-god-intrusive-questions-about-sexual-behavior/>
- **Verification:** SECONDARY — Ex-Jehovah's Witness analysis site documenting the 2019 manual edition.
- **Key quote:** "An elder might then be asking someone just seventeen, eighteen, or nineteen years old these pointed, direct, and even obscene and perverse questions. These teenagers would have no parents or anyone else there to protect them from this intrusive, disgusting line of questioning."

**Claim: Multiple external bodies — the Australian Royal Commission, the Pennsylvania grand jury, New Zealand's Abuse in Care Royal Commission, and the Norwegian Court of Appeal — have characterized the prior architecture in ways that diverge from Sanderson's framing.**

- **Source:** Royal Commission into Institutional Responses to Child Sexual Abuse, *Case Study 29: The response of the Jehovah's Witnesses and Watchtower Bible and Tract Society of Australia Ltd to allegations of child sexual abuse* (Australia).
- **URL:** <https://www.childabuseroyalcommission.gov.au/case-studies/case-study-29-jehovahs-witnesses>
- **Verification:** PRIMARY — Australian government commission record.

**Claim: The Norwegian Court of Appeal of Borgarting overturned the Oslo District Court ruling on March 14, 2025, declaring the state's deregistration and grant-denial decisions invalid — but the case continued to the Supreme Court.**

- **Source:** Borgarting Court of Appeal verdict LB-2024-81251-2, March 14, 2025; analyzed in *Bitter Winter*.
  - **URL:** <https://bitterwinter.org/norway-jehovahs-witnesses-fully-vindicated-by-appeal-court/>
  - **Verification:** SECONDARY — *Bitter Winter* analysis with primary-source citations from the Court of Appeal ruling. Cross-confirmed by HRWF and the Borgarting Court of Appeal's published reasoning.
-

## SECTION 6: The Verdict Structure — Why 5-0 and 3-2 Both Matter

**Claim: The Supreme Court found unanimously (5-0) that the state had not met its burden of proof on whether the current practice violates children's rights to a degree that crosses the threshold for state intervention.**

- **Source:** Norwegian Supreme Court verdict HR-2026-1009-A, April 30, 2026; analyzed by Human Rights Without Frontiers and reported in detail by Rett24 and Frihetskamp.
- **URL:** <https://hrwf.eu/norway-the-supreme-court-dismisses-all-accusations-against-jehovahs-witnesses/>
- **Verification:** SECONDARY — HRWF's detailed verdict summary. The 5-0 unanimous finding on children's rights and the 3-2 split on adult undue pressure are confirmed across NRK, Rett24, Frihetskamp, and HRWF.

**Claim: Three of the five justices then split off to find that the practice generally does not constitute undue pressure on adults under Article 9 of the European Convention on Human Rights. Two justices dissented, finding that the practice did qualify as undue pressure.**

- **Source:** Norwegian Supreme Court verdict HR-2026-1009-A, April 30, 2026; structure confirmed by NRK, HRWF, and Rett24.
- **URL:** <https://rett24.no/articles/jehovas-vitner-fikk-medhold-i-hoyesterett--avslag-pa-statsstotte-er-ugyldig/>
- **Verification:** SECONDARY — Rett24, Norwegian legal news outlet, reporting on the verdict's structure.

**Claim: Adult shunning has been upheld at the supreme-court level in approximately 11 countries globally, including the United States, several European jurisdictions, and others.**

- **Source:** Creator standing assertion from prior production canon on this channel. The Spanish Madrid Provincial Court ruling of April 16, 2026 (covered in Section 8) is also addressed by Bitter Winter analysis citing "dozens of decisions on shunning by jurisdictions in other countries, including supreme courts."
- **URL:** <https://bitterwinter.org/jehovahs-witnesses-in-norway-why-the-oslo-district-court-decision-is-wrong/>
- **Verification:** SECONDARY — *Bitter Winter* general framework citing the existence of multiple supreme-court rulings upholding the practice.
- **Note:** The specific count of 11 supreme courts is from prior production canon on this channel and reflects research compiled across multiple prior videos.

---

## SECTION 7: What Norway Is Doing Next — The Round 2 Setup

**Claim: Section 2 of the Norwegian Religious Communities Act still requires that members of a religious community be able to freely withdraw, and Section 6 still allows the state to deny funding when a religious community seriously violates the rights and freedoms of others.**

- **Source:** Norwegian Religious Communities Act (Law No. 31 of April 24, 2020), official Lovdata English-language version.
- **URL:** <https://lovdata.no/dokument/NLE/lov/2020-04-24-31>
- **Verification:** PRIMARY — Norwegian statute on Lovdata, Norway's official law database.
- **Note:** The Supreme Court did not strike Section 6 from the law. The verdict establishes the evidentiary threshold the state must meet, not the legality of the funding-denial mechanism itself.

**Claim: The Norwegian Children and Family Minister, Lene Vågslid, told Vårt Land that she was disappointed by the verdict and that it was too early to say what the consequences would be.**

- **Source:** Vårt Land, "Trosministeren: – Skuffet over dommen," April 30, 2026.

- **URL:** <https://www.vl.no/nyheter/trosministeren-skuffet-over-dommen/10325967>
  - **Verification:** PRIMARY — Vårt Land is Norway's main Christian newspaper of record. Day-of reporting on the minister's response.
- 

## SECTION 8: The International Ripple — The Architecture Moving Outside Norway

**Claim: Two weeks before the Norwegian Supreme Court verdict, the Provincial Court of Madrid (Section 21, decision 122/2026, April 16, 2026) ruled that Jehovah's Witnesses can be described as a "destructive sect" without defamation risk in Spain.**

- **Source:** Orthodox Times, "*Spain Justice Recognises the Right to Describe Jehovah's Witnesses as a 'Destructive Cult,'*" reporting on the Provincial Court of Madrid decision.
- **URL:** <https://orthodoxtimes.com/spain-justice-recognises-the-right-to-describe-jehovahs-witnesses-as-a-destructive-cult/>
- **Verification:** SECONDARY — Orthodox Times reporting on the court decision. The ruling itself is the primary source; news outlets including Zenit, Bitter Winter, and Vanguard cross-confirm the case details.
- **Note:** The Madrid Section 21 ruling upheld a 2023 first-instance ruling in favor of the Asociación Española de Víctimas de los Testigos de Jehová (AEVTJ). For broader context, *Bitter Winter* has published a critical analysis arguing the "destructive cult" framing overstates the ruling's reach; this is included in the Additional Supporting Sources section for evenhandedness.

**Claim: The lawyer for the Spanish victims' association, Carlos Bardavío, called the Madrid ruling the first ruling of its kind anywhere in the world.**

- **Source:** Orthodox Times, "*Spain Justice Recognises the Right to Describe Jehovah's Witnesses as a 'Destructive Cult,'*" April 2026.
- **URL:** <https://orthodoxtimes.com/spain-justice-recognises-the-right-to-describe-jehovahs-witnesses-as-a-destructive-cult/>
- **Verification:** SECONDARY — Orthodox Times quotes Bardavío directly. Carlos Bardavío represents AEVTJ (Asociación Española de Víctimas de los Testigos de Jehová).

**Claim: In late 2024, the Czech Republic Ministry of Culture opened a deregistration proceeding against Jehovah's Witnesses, citing Norway's earlier rulings.**

- **Source:** Prior production canon on this channel (compiled from earlier reporting on the Czech Ministry of Culture's actions).
- **URL:** <https://avoidjw.org/news/breaking-jw-vs-norway-norway-state-loses/>
- **Verification:** SECONDARY — AvoidJW's verdict-day coverage references the international momentum context including Czech, Sweden, and France.

**Claim: Sweden's Authority for Support to Faith Communities (SST) denied Jehovah's Witnesses' application for state aid in October 2025, having consulted Norway's framework directly the previous February.**

- **Source:** Prior production canon on this channel (Swedish SST decision documented in earlier reporting).
- **URL:** <https://avoidjw.org/news/breaking-jw-vs-norway-norway-state-loses/>
- **Verification:** SECONDARY — AvoidJW context coverage. The SST is the Swedish government agency overseeing state aid to faith communities; its October 2025 denial was reported as occurring exactly one year after Watchtower's Norway letter.

**Claim: In September 2025, the prefect of Hauts-de-Seine in France notified two key Jehovah's Witnesses associations of intent to withdraw their legal recognition under France's 2024 anti-cult law (Law 2024-420 of May 10, 2024).**

- **Source:** Prior production canon on this channel; French statute Law 2024-420 of May 10, 2024 (the "anti-cult" law).
- **URL:** <https://avoidjw.org/news/breaking-jw-vs-norway-norway-state-loses/>
- **Verification:** SECONDARY — AvoidJW context coverage. France's Law 2024-420 is the legal framework under which the Hauts-de-Seine prefect's notification was issued.

**Claim: Victims' associations have been formed in Mexico and Argentina, mirroring the Spanish AEVTJ model.**

- **Source:** Orthodox Times reporting on Carlos Bardavío's statements following the Madrid ruling.
- **URL:** <https://orthodoxtimes.com/spain-justice-recognises-the-right-to-describe-jehovahs-witnesses-as-a-destructive-cult/>
- **Verification:** SECONDARY — Bardavío is quoted in the Orthodox Times coverage stating that since the initial ruling, other associations had been created "in Mexico and Argentina."

---

## SECTION 9: Additional Supporting Sources

These sources provide context, cross-confirmation, or alternative perspectives. They are not tied to a specific claim in the video but are useful for further reading.

### HRWF — Norway Supreme Court Verdict Summary

- **Source:** Human Rights Without Frontiers, "*Norway: The Supreme Court Dismisses All Accusations Against Jehovah's Witnesses*," Willy Fautré.
- **URL:** <https://hrwf.eu/norway-the-supreme-court-dismisses-all-accusations-against-jehovahs-witnesses/>
- **Verification:** SECONDARY — Human Rights Without Frontiers, a Brussels-based human-rights NGO with a long track record of religious-freedom reporting.
- **Contains:** Detailed breakdown of the April 30, 2026 verdict including the 5-0 unanimous finding on children's rights and the 3-2 split on adult undue pressure under Article 9 ECHR.

### Rett24 — Norwegian Legal News Verdict Coverage

- **Source:** Rett24, "*Jehovas vitner fikk medhold i Høyesterett: Avslag på statsstøtte er ugyldig*," April 30, 2026.
- **URL:** <https://rett24.no/articles/jehovas-vitner-fikk-medhold-i-hoyesterett-avslag-pa-statsstotte-er-ugyldig>
- **Verification:** SECONDARY — Rett24, a Norwegian-language legal news outlet covering the day-of verdict.
- **Contains:** Detailed Norwegian-language reporting on the verdict structure and the procedural reasoning.

### Frihetskamp — Day-of Verdict Coverage (Norwegian)

- **Source:** Frihetskamp, "*Jehovas Vitner vant mot staten i Høyesterett*," April 30, 2026.
- **URL:** <https://www.frihetskamp.no/jehovas-vitner-vant-mot-staten-i-hoyesterett/>
- **Verification:** SECONDARY — Norwegian commentary outlet cross-confirming the verdict structure.
- **Contains:** Cross-confirms the unanimous-on-children's-rights framing.

### AvoidJW — Watchtower's Desperation in Norway

- **Source:** AvoidJW, "Watchtower's Desperation In Norway: Chasing State Grants," by Lester Somrah, November 1, 2024.
- **URL:** <https://avoidjw.org/news/watchtowers-desperation-in-norway-chasing-state-grants/>
- **Verification:** SECONDARY — AvoidJW investigative analysis.
- **Contains:** In-depth analysis of Watchtower's October 24, 2024 letter, the August 2024 Study Edition changes, and the strategic framing of those changes as concession when the substance remains unchanged.

### **AvoidJW — Norway State Loses, Day-of Coverage**

- **Source:** AvoidJW, "Breaking: JW vs Norway — Norway State Loses," April 30, 2026.
- **URL:** <https://avoidjw.org/news/breaking-jw-vs-norway-norway-state-loses/>
- **Verification:** SECONDARY — AvoidJW day-of coverage from the ex-Jehovah's-Witness community perspective.
- **Contains:** Same-day verdict coverage including the international momentum context (Czech Republic, Sweden, France, Mexico, Argentina).

### **Bitter Winter — Court of Appeal Coverage**

- **Source:** *Bitter Winter*, "Norway: Jehovah's Witnesses 'Fully Vindicated' By Appeal Court," by Massimo Introvigne, March 21, 2025.
- **URL:** <https://bitterwinter.org/norway-jehovahs-witnesses-fully-vindicated-by-appeal-court/>
- **Verification:** SECONDARY — Italian sociologist Massimo Introvigne's analysis of the Borgarting Court of Appeal's March 14, 2025 verdict.
- **Contains:** The Court of Appeal ruling that reversed the Oslo District Court before the Supreme Court appeal. Includes verbatim citations from the Court of Appeal opinion.

### **Bitter Winter — Madrid Ruling Critical Analysis**

- **Source:** *Bitter Winter*, "Misinterpreting Court Decisions: The Madrid Ruling Against the Jehovah's Witnesses," by Massimo Introvigne.
- **URL:** <https://bitterwinter.org/misinterpreting-court-decisions-the-madrid-ruling-against-the-jehovahs-witnesses/>
- **Verification:** SECONDARY — *Bitter Winter's* critical analysis arguing the "destructive cult" framing overstates the ruling's reach. Included for evenhandedness on the Madrid ruling claim.
- **Contains:** Counterpoint analysis of the Madrid Section 21 ruling, including the existence of a contradictory ruling from Section 18 of the same court.

### **Diplomat Magazine — Pre-Trial Norway Case Coverage**

- **Source:** Diplomat Magazine, "Norway: A Trial About the State's Intrusion Into Religious Beliefs," January 8, 2024.
- **URL:** <https://diplomatmagazine.eu/2024/01/08/norway-a-trial-about-the-states-intrusion-into-religious-beliefs/>
- **Verification:** SECONDARY — Diplomatic affairs publication covering the case at the District Court trial stage.
- **Contains:** Background on the case's origins, the County Governor's January 27, 2022 administrative decision, and the constitutional context (Section 16 of the Norwegian Constitution requiring equal support of religious communities).

### **Stop Mandated Shunning — Norway Case Timeline**

- **Source:** Stop Mandated Shunning, Norway case timeline, post 29.
- **URL:** <https://stopmandatedshunning.org/post/29>

- **Verification:** SECONDARY — Advocacy organization compiling chronologies of shunning-related legal proceedings.
- **Contains:** Chronology of the Norway case from the initial complaint through the Supreme Court appeal.

#### **JW.org — Watchtower's Own Statement on the Court of Appeal Ruling**

- **Source:** JW.org, "*Court of Appeal Unanimously Overturns Unconstitutional Ruling in Norway*," March 2025.
- **URL:** <https://www.jw.org/en/news/region/norway/Court-of-Appeal-Unanimously-Overturns-Unconstitutional-Ruling-in-Norway/>
- **Verification:** PRIMARY — Jehovah's Witnesses' official statement on the Court of Appeal verdict. Included for transparency on Watchtower's own framing of the proceedings.
- **Contains:** Watchtower's own characterization of the Court of Appeal verdict and its position on the case before the Supreme Court appeal.