

Reference Document

“The Norway Verdict: Why Two Judges Saw Through It (And Three Didn't)”
Every Claim, Source, and Verification Status

How to Use This Document

Each entry identifies a specific factual claim made in the video, the source it is based on, where to verify it, and any notes on confidence level. Claims are organized in the order they appear in the video.

Verification levels:

- **PRIMARY** — Sourced from court rulings, legislation, official Watchtower publications (including internal manuals like *Shepherd the Flock of God*), peer-reviewed research, or official government records. Primary sources can stand on their own.
- **SECONDARY** — Sourced from established advocacy or legal resource sites, academic researchers, or reputable investigative journalism (Reuters, AP, AFP, regional papers of record, Norwegian broadcaster NRK). Secondary sources support claims and are most defensible when paired with a primary or another independent secondary.

SECTION 1: The Ruling and Its Stakes

Claim: The Norwegian Supreme Court ruled three to two that the Norwegian state cannot deny Jehovah's Witnesses their state subsidy or their registration as a religious community.

- **Source:** NRK (Norwegian Broadcasting Corporation), *Høyesterett har talt: Staten kan ikke nekte Jehovas vitner statstilskudd og registrering*, by Amalie Sande Henden and Viktor Sødal
- **URL:** https://www.nrk.no/sorlandet/hoyesterett-har-talt_-staten-kan-ikke-nekte-jehovas-vitner-statstilskudd-og-registrering-1.17859583
- **Verification:** SECONDARY — Norway's national broadcaster of record, published the morning of the ruling.
- **Key quote:** “Høyesterett har talt: Staten kan ikke nekte Jehovas vitner statstilskudd og registrering. Det ble klart i en historisk dom torsdag formiddag, da Høyesterett gav Jehovas vitner medhold med dissens 3–2.”

Claim: The case represents four years of litigation and roughly 100 million kroner of withheld funding now owed back to Watchtower.

- **Source:** NRK, same article
- **URL:** https://www.nrk.no/sorlandet/hoyesterett-har-talt_-staten-kan-ikke-nekte-jehovas-vitner-statstilskudd-og-registrering-1.17859583
- **Verification:** SECONDARY — Same NRK reporting as above.
- **Note:** The figure is calculated from approximately five years of withheld annual subsidies; NRK reports the back-payment expectation in the article. The court also awarded Watchtower more than 10.5 million NOK in legal costs against the state.

Claim: In 2021, the State Administrator for Oslo and Viken (Statsforvalteren) stopped paying the annual state subsidy that Jehovah's Witnesses in Norway had been receiving for about thirty years.

- **Source:** Oslo District Court ruling, *TOSL-2022-186588 / TOSL-2023-23178* (Lovdata)
- **URL:** <https://lovdata.no/dokument/TRSIV/avgjorelse/tosl-2022-186588>
- **Verification:** PRIMARY — Full text of the 2024 first-instance court ruling, which lays out the procedural history of the state's subsidy denial and registration revocation.
- **Key quote:** “Statsforvalteren 27. januar 2022 vedtok å nekte Jehovas vitner statstilskudd for 2021, jf. trossamfunnsloven §§ 6 og 2.”

Claim: In 2022, the same office revoked the religious community's registration entirely.

- **Source:** Oslo District Court ruling, *TOSL-2022-186588*
- **URL:** <https://lovdata.no/dokument/TRSIV/avgjorelse/tosl-2022-186588>
- **Verification:** PRIMARY — Court's recitation of agency action.
- **Key quote:** “Statsforvalteren traff 22. desember 2022 vedtak om å trekke tilbake registreringen av Jehovas vitner.”

Claim: The legal hook was Norway's Religious Communities Act, section 6, which lets the state deny subsidies and registration to any community whose practices seriously violate others' rights and freedoms.

- **Source:** Lov om tros- og livssynssamfunn (trossamfunnsloven), § 6 (Lovdata)
- **URL:** <https://lovdata.no/lov/2020-04-24-31>
- **Verification:** PRIMARY — Norwegian statutory text.
- **Key quote:** “Dersom et tros- eller livssynssamfunn, eller enkeltpersoner som opptrer på vegne av samfunnet, utøver vold eller tvang, fremsetter trusler, krenker barns rettigheter, bryter lovbestemte diskrimineringsforbud eller på andre måter alvorlig krenker andres rettigheter og friheter, kan samfunnet nektes tilskudd eller tilskudd kan avkortes.”

Claim: The state's argument was that the Witnesses' shunning policy violated members' right to leave the religion freely and exposed baptized minors to what Norwegian authorities call negative social control and psychological violence.

- **Source:** Oslo District Court ruling, *TOSL-2022-186588*
- **URL:** <https://lovdata.no/dokument/TRSIV/avgjorelse/tosl-2022-186588>
- **Verification:** PRIMARY — Court's recitation of the state's argument.
- **Note:** The terms *negativ sosial kontroll* (negative social control) and *psykisk vold* (psychological violence) are policy/government-action-plan terms in Norwegian usage; they appear in agency reasoning rather than as standalone statutory definitions in trossamfunnsloven § 6 itself.

Claim: In 2024, the Oslo District Court agreed with the state.

- **Source:** Oslo District Court ruling, *TOSL-2022-186588 / TOSL-2023-23178*
- **URL:** <https://lovdata.no/dokument/TRSIV/avgjorelse/tosl-2022-186588>
- **Verification:** PRIMARY — The ruling itself.
- **Key quote:** “Konklusjonen er at vilkårene er oppfylt for å nekte Jehovas vitner statstilskudd og registrering etter trossamfunnsloven, og at vedtakene er gyldige.”

Claim: During that trial, the 2017 Watchtower broadcast titled "Loyally Uphold Jehovah's Judgments — Shun Unrepentant Wrongdoers" was shown in open court, with testimony from Watchtower's side that the video was just a random example of how some families might choose to handle things.

- **Source:** AvoidJW, *Norway Supreme Court Day 2: The Price We Pay* (citing Jan Frode Nilsen's translated courtroom report)
- **URL:** <https://avoidjw.org/news/norway-supreme-court-day-2-the-price-we-pay-jehovahs-witness/>
- **Verification:** SECONDARY — On-the-ground reporting from the courtroom by Norwegian ex-members.
- **Key quote:** "The 2017 Watchtower broadcast video was used as evidence in the lower court proceedings. The witness for Watchtower characterized the video as 'just a random example showing how some families would choose to handle things.'"

Claim: Watchtower lost the District Court round and appealed, and in 2025 the Borgarting Court of Appeal reversed — a unanimous three-judge panel said the state hadn't proven its case.

- **Source:** Bitter Winter, *Norway, Jehovah's Witnesses "Fully Vindicated" By Appeal Court*, by Massimo Introvigne
- **URL:** <https://bitterwinter.org/norway-jehovahs-witnesses-fully-vindicated-by-appeal-court/>
- **Verification:** SECONDARY — Pro-religious-liberty analysis (the source is sympathetic to the religion, which makes its description of the appellate ruling useful as a steelman). Cross-confirmed by Norwegian legal trade publication *Advokatbladet* citing case number LB-2024-81251-2.

Claim: In February of this year, five Supreme Court justices heard three days of arguments. The hearing wrapped on February 9, 2026.

- **Source:** AvoidJW, *Norway Supreme Court — Pre-Hearing Information and Day 2 coverage*
- **URL:** <https://avoidjw.org/news/norway-price-we-pay-supreme-court-hearing/>
- **Verification:** SECONDARY — AvoidJW's pre-hearing case summary published February 5, 2026, with day-by-day coverage of the February 2026 hearings.

Claim: The verdict landed today, April 30, 2026.

- **Source:** NRK, same article (timestamped publication 30.04.2026, kl. 11:29)
- **URL:** <https://www.nrk.no/sorlandet/hoyesterett-har-talt -staten-kan-ikke-nekte-jehovas-vitner-statstilskudd-og-registrering-1.17859583>
- **Verification:** SECONDARY — Same NRK article; published the morning of the ruling.

SECTION 2: The Rulebook on Paper

Claim: The official Watchtower position is that family ties aren't broken when a member is disfellowshipped or disassociates, with a household carve-out for members who live under the same roof.

- **Source:** Watchtower Bible and Tract Society, *Keep Yourselves in God's Love*, chapter "How to Treat a Disfellowshipped Person"
- **URL:** <https://www.jw.org/en/library/books/gods-love/disfellowshipped-person/>
- **Verification:** PRIMARY — Official Watchtower publication on JW.org.
- **Key quote:** "Since his being disfellowshipped does not sever the family ties, normal day-to-day family activities and dealings may continue."

Claim: A second exception called "necessary family matters" allows contact with disfellowshipped relatives outside the household when something practical has to be handled, which the publications describe as a moral and biblical duty.

- **Source:** Watchtower Bible and Tract Society, *Keep Yourselves in God's Love*, same chapter
- **URL:** <https://www.jw.org/en/library/books/gods-love/disfellowshipped-person/>
- **Verification:** PRIMARY — Official Watchtower publication on JW.org.
- **Key quote:** "In other cases, the disfellowshipped relative may be living outside the immediate family circle and home. Although there might be a need for limited contact on some rare occasion to care for a necessary family matter, any such contact should be kept to a minimum."

Claim: Even if a member ignores the guidelines and associates with disfellowshipped family members rather than shun them, the elder's book says they cannot be brought before a judicial committee on that basis alone.

- **Source:** *Shepherd the Flock of God* (2025 ed.), internal Watchtower elder's manual — chapter on judicial procedure and association with disfellowshipped relatives
- **Verification:** PRIMARY — Internal Watchtower manual issued to elders.
- **Note:** *Shepherd the Flock of God* is an internal manual; copies in private circulation have been confirmed across multiple secondary advocacy and academic sources, and the Norwegian Supreme Court explicitly relied on Watchtower's published rulebook material in its ruling. The 2025 edition's relevant passage states that a judicial committee should only be formed if the member persists in association with a relative who is "promoting apostate teachings or wrong conduct" despite repeated counsel.

Claim: Watchtower showed that 2017 video where a mother chose not to answer the phone when her disfellowshipped daughter called.

- **Source:** Watchtower Bible and Tract Society, *Our Christian Life and Ministry — Meeting Workbook*, September 2017, study article "Be Loyal When a Relative Is Disfellowshipped" (Watchtower Online Library)
- **URL:** <https://wol.jw.org/en/wol/d/r1/lp-e/202017329>
- **Verification:** PRIMARY — Official Watchtower meeting workbook material that directs members by name to watch the video *Loyally Uphold Jehovah's Judgments* — *Shun Unrepentant Wrongdoers* and answer scripted study questions about Sonja's parents' decision not to take their disfellowshipped daughter's call.
- **Key quote:** "Watch the video *Loyally Uphold Jehovah's Judgments* — *Shun Unrepentant Wrongdoers*, and then answer the following questions: What situation tested the loyalty of Sonja's parents?"

Claim: In the 1950s Watchtower called this strategic dishonesty toward outsiders "Theocratic Warfare" — the doctrine that hiding the truth from God's enemies is biblically permitted.

- **Source:** JWfacts, *Watchtower Quotes Regarding Theocratic Warfare and Lying in Court* (catalog of original Watchtower publications)
- **URL:** <https://www.jwfacts.com/watchtower/quotes/theocratic-warfare-lying.php>
- **Verification:** SECONDARY — Established advocacy/research site cataloging primary Watchtower quotations with full publication citations.
- **Key quote:** "As a soldier of Christ he is in theocratic warfare and he must exercise added caution when dealing with God's foes. Thus the Scriptures show that for the purpose of protecting the interests of God's cause, it is proper to hide the truth from God's enemies. (*Watchtower*, June 1, 1960, pp. 351–352)"

Claim: Norway's Supreme Court read those Watchtower documents, cited them by name, and explicitly placed weight on what it called the internal guidelines for handling minors. The majority concluded that family bonds are not broken for relatives outside the household, that the practice does not meet the threshold for psychological violence, and that the state had not proven that exclusion creates undue pressure to remain in the religion.

- **Source:** NRK, same April 30, 2026 article, summarizing the Supreme Court's reasoning; cross-confirmed by the official case page on Domstol.no
- **URL:** <https://www.domstol.no/no/hoyesterett/saksliste/henviste/25-089326SIV-HRET/>
- **Verification:** PRIMARY — Domstol.no is the Norwegian judiciary's official case-tracking system. NRK provides the news-of-record summary of the majority's reasoning the morning of the ruling.
- **Key quote:** “Familiebånd brytes ikke for familiemedlemmer utenfor husstanden. (Family bonds are not broken for family members outside the household.) — Supreme Court majority, paraphrased in NRK reporting.”

SECTION 3: The Two Judges Who Saw Through It

Claim: The 2017 video was quietly removed from JW.org in 2024.

- **Source:** AvoidJW, *Jehovah's Witnesses Remove Shunning Video*
- **URL:** <https://avoidjw.org/news/jehovahs-witnesses-remove-shunning-video/>
- **Verification:** SECONDARY — Advocacy press documenting the removal, with a Wayback Machine snapshot from October 8, 2024 capturing the page before removal.
- **Key quote:** “In 2024, we noticed that a 2017 Video, 'Loyally Uphold Jehovah's Judgments: Shun Unrepentant Wrongdoers,' was randomly removed from their site, again, with no explanation.”

Claim: The 2008 book *Keep Yourselves in God's Love* told Witnesses to hard-core shun their disfellowshipped relatives — no social contact, not even a 'hello'.

- **Source:** Watchtower Bible and Tract Society, *Keep Yourselves in God's Love* (2008)
- **URL:** <https://www.jw.org/en/library/books/gods-love/disfellowshipped-person/>
- **Verification:** PRIMARY — Official Watchtower publication on JW.org.
- **Key quote:** “A simple 'Hello' to someone can be the first step that develops into a conversation and maybe even a friendship. Would we want to take that first step with a disfellowshipped person? (Quoting *Watchtower*, September 15, 1981, p. 25.)”

Claim: The rulebook says no judicial action will be taken against family members who associate with disfellowshipped family unless they're promoting "apostate teachings" or "wrong conduct."

- **Source:** *Shepherd the Flock of God* (2025 ed.), internal Watchtower elder's manual
- **Verification:** PRIMARY — Internal Watchtower manual issued to elders.
- **Note:** The 2025 STFOG language has been cross-referenced in multiple advocacy and academic sources and was relied upon by the Norwegian Supreme Court in its review.
- **Key quote:** “A committee should be formed if, despite repeated counsel, an individual persists in associating with a relative who is promoting apostate teachings or wrong conduct.”

Claim: Two of the five Supreme Court justices read the same documents the majority read, watched the same testimony, and concluded that exclusion can lead to lost contact with family members and that this constitutes pressure that infringes a person's right to leave the religion freely.

- **Source:** NRK, same April 30, 2026 article (summarizing the dissent)
- **URL:** <https://www.nrk.no/sorlandet/hoyesterett-har-talt-staten-kan-ikke-nekte-jehovas-vitner-statstilskudd-og-registrering-1.17859583>
- **Verification:** SECONDARY — Norway's national broadcaster of record reporting the dissent's published reasoning.
- **Key quote:** “Mindretallet mente at eksklusjon kan føre til tap av kontakt med familiemedlemmer, særlig for mindreårige, og at dette utgjør et press som krenker retten til fri utmelding. (The minority held that exclusion can lead to loss of contact with family members, particularly for minors, and that this constitutes pressure that infringes the right to free withdrawal.)”

Claim: During the Supreme Court hearing, Watchtower's lawyer told the court, repeatedly, that nobody in the organization is afraid of being excluded and that family ties are not broken.

- **Source:** AvoidJW, *Norway Supreme Court Day 2: The Price We Pay*, citing Jan Frode Nilsen's translated courtroom report (Anders Ryssdal, Watchtower's lead counsel)
- **URL:** <https://avoidjw.org/news/norway-supreme-court-day-2-the-price-we-pay-jehovahs-witness/>
- **Verification:** SECONDARY — Direct courtroom reporting by Norwegian ex-members present at the hearing.

SECTION 4: Where the Wins Are Coming From

Claim: In Mexico recently, a Witness elder used his country's federal data protection law to block a judicial committee from convening against him.

- **Source:** Mexico's *Ley Federal de Protección de Datos Personales en Posesión de los Particulares* (LFPDPPP) — INAI Marco Normativo page
- **URL:** https://home.inai.org.mx/?page_id=1870&mat:=p
- **Verification:** PRIMARY — Mexican government / INAI's central normative-framework page listing the LFPDPPP and the ARCO rights (Access, Rectification, Cancellation, Opposition) the elder invoked.
- **Note:** The Mexican elder used ARCO rights plus the procedural requirements of *Shepherd the Flock of God* as defensive leverage; the proceedings stopped before the judicial committee formally convened. INAI was formally dissolved in March 2025 with functions transferred to the Secretariat of Anti-Corruption and Good Governance, but the underlying law remains in force and the page remains accessible. Full details documented in the EXJW Analyzer prior video on this case.

Claim: In Brazil, a court took the legal theory a step further. A Jehovah's Witness man revoked consent for his personal data under Brazil's data protection law. The elders disfellowshipped him publicly anyway. He sued — not for money, but to order the congregation to publicly retract the announcement and to declare that no member could be punished for staying in contact with him. The court granted it, with a daily fine for noncompliance.

- **Source:** Vara Única de Pratápolis (MG), Brazil — first-instance ruling by Judge Ângelo de Almeida against the local Jehovah's Witnesses congregation and the Watch Tower organization, on a Lei Geral de Proteção de Dados (LGPD) consent-withdrawal claim
- **Verification:** PRIMARY — Brazilian court ruling.
- **Note:** Originally documented in a blog post by the case attorney, Dr. Juliano de Henrique Mello, published March 3, 2025. That post has since been taken offline. The case details — including Judge Ângelo de Almeida's name, the Vara Única de Pratápolis (MG) court, the order requiring a public retraction at the congregation, the declaration that no member could be punished for maintaining contact, and the R\$500 daily noncompliance fine — were independently confirmed via a live broadcast on March 1, 2025 hosted by Edson Reis and Eric Reis Azevedo, in which Dr. Mello discussed the ruling. The attorney's professional credentials and practice area (Direitos Humanos) are verifiable at <https://julianohmello.com.br/sobre-mim/>. Watchtower has appealed; if upheld at the appellate level, the ruling would create binding precedent in Brazil. Full case details and broadcast attribution are documented in the EXJW Analyzer prior video on this case.

Claim: In Spain, an appellate court recently ruled that former members are within their rights to publicly characterize Jehovah's Witnesses as a destructive sect. Watchtower argued defamation; the court held the speech is protected because it's backed by factual testimony from ex-members.

- **Source:** Consejo General del Poder Judicial — Spain's central judicial database, official press release on the Torrejón de Ardoz ruling
- **URL:** <https://www.poderjudicial.es/cgpj/es/Poder-Judicial/Noticias-Judiciales/Un-juzgado-de-Torrejon-de-Ardoz-desestima-la-demanda-de-la-organizacion-religiosa-Testigos-de-Jehova-por-vulneracion-del-de-recho-al-honor>
- **Verification:** PRIMARY — Spain's central judicial database (CGPJ) press release on the underlying ruling, which the Audiencia Provincial de Madrid subsequently affirmed on appeal (notified April 16, 2026).
- **Note:** Full details — including the AEVTJ as appellant, Bardavío Abogados as counsel, and the appellate affirmance — are documented in the EXJW Analyzer prior video on this case.

Claim: France recently changed its laws to make it easier to address high-control groups like Jehovah's Witnesses.

- **Source:** Bitter Winter, *Against Senate's Opposition, France Passes New Anti-Cult Law*, by Massimo Introvigne (April 2024)
- **URL:** <https://bitterwinter.org/against-senates-opposition-france-passes-new-anti-cult-law/>
- **Verification:** SECONDARY — Detailed analysis of the new French law from a pro-religious-liberty source. The source is editorially sympathetic to groups targeted by anti-cult legislation, which makes its description of the law's expanded reach a useful counterweight.
- **Note:** On April 9, 2024, France passed an amended anti-cult law titled "reinforcing the fight against cultic deviances," creating a new criminal offense of "psychological subjection" that builds on the 2001 About-Picard law. The amendments also strengthened the role of MIVILUDES, France's anti-cult agency, and allowed anti-cult associations to participate as civil parties in cultic-deviance cases.

Claim: There are other cases in places like Japan trying to protect children from cults.

- **Source:** Associated Press via PBS NewsHour, *Court in Japan orders dissolution of Unification Church* (March 25, 2025)
- **URL:** <https://www.pbs.org/newshour/world/court-in-japan-orders-dissolution-of-unification-church>
- **Verification:** SECONDARY — AP wire reporting on the Tokyo District Court's dissolution order against the Unification Church (Family Federation for World Peace and Unification), based on harm to followers' families and manipulative donation tactics. Cross-confirmed by CNN and JURIST.
- **Note:** The dissolution was the first in Japan based on civil-code violations rather than criminal charges, with the Education Ministry citing harm to followers and their families — including children — from coercive donation practices. The Church has appealed.

SECTION 5: Reflection

Claim: The 3–2 split, rather than a 5–0 outcome in either direction, is itself a piece of information about the close evidentiary balance — and the dissent's reasoning is now on the record of Norway's Supreme Court, available to other courts that come to this question next.

- **Source:** NRK, same April 30, 2026 article — reporting both the majority and dissent
- **URL:** <https://www.nrk.no/sorlandet/hoyesterett-har-talt-staten-kan-ikke-nekte-jehovas-vitner-statstilskudd-og-registrering-1.17859583>
- **Verification:** SECONDARY — Norway's national broadcaster of record.
- **Note:** That a published dissent from senior justices on a national supreme court becomes part of the comparative legal record available to other jurisdictions is a general feature of common-law and civil-law appellate practice, not a claim specific to this case.

The interpretive framing throughout the video — that Watchtower's decades of careful policy drafting was designed to function as a legal shield, that the 3–2 split signals an evidentiary tension worth attending to, and that individual-rights frameworks are likely to produce more meaningful wins than direct doctrinal challenges — represents the analysis of the EXJW Analyzer creator, drawing on roughly forty years inside the organization and eight years of subsequent investigative work. Editorial framing is identified throughout the video as such and is not advanced as a factual claim requiring external verification.

SECTION 6: Additional Supporting Sources

VG — Jehovas vitner fikk medhold i Høyesterett

- **Source:** Verdens Gang (Norwegian newspaper of record)
- **URL:** <https://www.vg.no/nyheter/i/d4J0gw/jehovas-vitner-fikk-medhold-i-hoyesterett-avslag-paa-statss-toette-er-ugyldig>
- **Verification:** SECONDARY — Norwegian mainstream news cross-confirmation of the ruling and its date.
- **Contains:** Independent corroboration of the NRK reporting on the Supreme Court's decision.

Advokatbladet — Dette er sakene Høyesterett behandler denne uken

- **Source:** Advokatbladet (Norwegian legal trade publication)
- **URL:** <https://www.advokatbladet.no/hoyesterett-jus-jussnytt/dette-er-sakene-hoyesterett-behandler-denne-uken/239939>
- **Verification:** PRIMARY — Legal trade publication with case-number specificity (case 25-089326SIV-HRET; appellate ruling LB-2024-81251-2).
- **Contains:** Procedural detail on the Supreme Court hearing schedule, lead counsel for both sides (Liv-Inger Gjone Gabrielsen for the state, Anders Ryssdal for Watchtower), and the statutory framework under trossamfunnsloven §§ 2, 4, and 6.

Bitter Winter — Jehovah's Witnesses and Shunning, Part 3

- **Source:** Bitter Winter (pro-religious-liberty analysis with primary-source quotation), by Massimo Introvigne
- **URL:** <https://bitterwinter.org/jehovahs-witnesses-and-shunning-3/>
- **Verification:** SECONDARY — Pro-religious-liberty editorial position; useful for its catalog of the Watchtower publications cited by the Norwegian appellate court (and reviewed by the Supreme Court) on the household carve-out, including the April 15, 1991 *Watchtower* and the 2008 book *Keep Yourselves in God's Love*.
- **Contains:** The specific Watchtower texts the Norwegian courts relied on in finding that the published policy describes a non-broken family relationship for relatives outside the household.

Store norske leksikon — Jehovas vitner

- **Source:** Store norske leksikon (Norwegian encyclopedia of record)
- **URL:** https://snl.no/Jehovas_vitner
- **Verification:** SECONDARY — Norwegian encyclopedia of record.
- **Contains:** Membership figures (~12,000 in Norway), global statistics, and background on the deregistration saga.

JW Child Custody — Sonja Ericsson Removed from JW.org Website

- **Source:** JW Child Custody (advocacy site documenting the 2017 video's removal)
- **URL:** <https://www.jwchildcustody.com/news/sonja-ericsson-has-been-removed-from-jw-org-website-shunning-video-deleted>
- **Verification:** SECONDARY — Advocacy site with archive verification, including the Wayback Machine snapshot from October 8, 2024 capturing the original JW.org page before removal.
- **Contains:** Independent confirmation of the video removal; original 2016 "Remain Loyal to Jehovah!" convention provenance; the official em-dash form of the title; courtroom usage history including the January 2024 Norwegian District Court trial.